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#### Abstract

Periodic even degree spline interpolants of a function $f$ at the knots are considered. Existence and uniqueness results are proved, and error bounds of the form $\left\|f^{(k)}-s^{(k)}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \sigma_{r, k} h^{2 r+1-k}\left\{\left\|f^{(2 r+1)}\right\|_{\infty}+\operatorname{Var}\left(f^{(2 r+1)}\right\}(k=0, \ldots, 2 r)\right.$ are obtained. (1) 1985 Academic Press, Inc.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\Delta=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ be a uniform partition of [ $\left.a, b\right], a=x_{0}<\cdots<x_{N}=b$, and $x_{i}=a+i h$, where $h=(b-a) / N$. An even degree spline is a function $s \in C^{2 r-1}[a, b]$ such that $s$ restricted to $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$ is a polynomial of degree at most $2 r$. It is a periodic even degree spline if $s^{(k)}(a)=s^{(k)}(b)(k=$ $0, \ldots, 2 r-1)$. In this paper we define a periodic even degree spline from its nodal values $s\left(x_{i}\right)(i=0, \ldots, N)$.

Nice error bounds have been established for periodic odd degree spline interpolation (e.g., see Ahlberg et al. [2], B. Swartz [11, 12], Albasiny and Hoskins [3], and recently, T. R. Lucas [10]). It appears that we can obtain similar results for periodic even degree spline interpolation. In [7], extending the results of Daniel [5] and de Boor [4], we have studied periodic quadratic spline interpolation and showed that good results are obtained when the partition is uniform. In [8] we have obtained similar results for periodic quartic spline interpolation on a uniform partition. The object of this paper is the study of periodic even degree spline interpolation on a uniform partition. We show existence and uniqueness of periodic even degree spline interpolants and obtain error bounds of the form

$$
\left\|f^{(k)}-s^{(k)}\right\|_{\infty} \simeq O\left(h^{2 r+1-k}\right) \quad(k=0, \ldots, 2 r)
$$

These results are also extensions of those obtained by Meek [13].

Throughout this paper we will use the following notations. If $g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ is a given function, we will write $g_{i}=g\left(x_{i}\right), x_{i+(1 / 2)}=$ $\left(x_{i}+x_{i+1}\right) / 2, g_{i+(1 / 2)}=g\left(x_{i+(1 / 2)}\right), g^{(k)}$ is the $k$ th derivative of $g, g^{(0)}=g$ and $\operatorname{Var}(g)$ is the total variation of $g$ on $[a, b]$. We also consider the following function spaces: $C^{k}[a, b]$, the spaces of functions with continuous derivatives through order $k, C_{p}^{k}[a, b]$, the spaces of functions $f \in C^{k}[a, b]$, such that $f^{(l)}(a)=f^{(l)}(b)$ for all $l=0, \ldots, k$, and $\mathscr{P}_{k}$, the space of all polynomials of degree at most $k$.

## 2. Existence of Even Degree Periodic Splines

As previously defined, on each interval $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$ a periodic spline of degree $2 r$ can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{2 r} s_{i}^{(k)} \frac{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{k}}{k!} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and our first step is to relate the quantities $s_{i}^{(k)}(k=1, \ldots, 2 r)$ to the quantities $s_{i}$. This is done by the following fundamental relationship, proved by Fyfe [9, Theorem 1],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{2 r-1} C_{j, 2 r}^{(0)} s_{i+j}^{(k)}=\frac{(2 r)_{k}}{h^{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{2 r-1} C_{j, 2 r}^{(k)} s_{i+j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k=1, \ldots, 2 r-1$, where $(2 r)_{k}=(2 r)!/(2 r-k)!$,

$$
C_{j, 2 r}^{(k)}=\nabla^{2 r+1} n_{+}^{2 r-k} \quad \text { when } \quad n=2 r-j
$$

for $k=0, \ldots, 2 r-1, \nabla$ is the backward difference operator and $z_{+}=$ $(z+|z|) / 2$. Finally, for $k=2 r$ we obtain directly from (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}^{(2 r)}=\frac{s_{i+1}^{(2 r-1)}-s_{i}^{(2 r-1)}}{h} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider (2) for $i=-r+1, \ldots, N-r$, and remember that indices must be considered modulo $N$, we obtain the linear systems

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 r}^{(0)} s_{\Delta}^{(k)}=\frac{(2 r)_{k}}{h^{k}} C_{2 r}^{(k)} s_{\Delta} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k=1, \ldots, 2 r-1$, where $s_{A}^{(k)}=\left(s_{0}^{(k)}, s_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, s_{N-1}^{(k)}\right)$ and $C_{2 r}^{(k)}$ is a band circulant matrix with nonzero elements in a general row consisting of

$$
C_{0,2 r}^{(k)}, C_{1,2 r}^{(k)}, \ldots, C_{r-1,2 r}^{(k)}, \ldots, C_{2 r-2,2 r}^{(k)}, C_{2 r-1,2 r}^{(k)}
$$

with the element $C_{r-1,2 r}^{(k)}$ on the diagonal.

Using the properties of the coefficients of the matrix $C_{2 r}^{(0)}$ (see the Appendix), we can prove the following result.

Theorem 1. Let $\Delta=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ be a uniform partition of $[a, b]$. A periodic spline of degree $2 r$ is uniquely determined by its nodal values $\left\{s_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N-1}$ if and only if $N$ is odd. In this case

$$
s_{4}^{(k)}=\frac{(2 r)_{k}}{h^{k}}\left(E C_{2 r}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} E C_{2 r}^{(k)} s_{A}
$$

where the matrix $E$ of order $N$ is

$$
E=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr}
1  \tag{5}\\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $E C_{2 r}^{(0)}$ is a symmetric band circulant matrix of order $N$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(E C_{2 r}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \frac{2 r+2}{2^{2 r+1}\left(2^{2 r+2}-1\right)} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{r}}{B_{2 r+2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{2 r+2}$ is a Bernoulli number (see Abramowitz and Stegun [1]). If $N$ is even, the spline does not exist or is not uniquely determined.

Proof. See Dubeau [6].
Q.E.D.

## 3. Derivation of Error Bounds

Given a function $f \in C_{p}^{2 r+1}[a, b]$ and a uniform partition $\Delta=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}, N$ odd, of the interval $[a, b]$. We consider the periodic spline interpolant $s$ of degree $2 r$ of $f$ such that $s\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right)$. On each interval $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$ the $k$ th derivative $(0 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 r)$ of the remainder function $e(x)=f(x)-s(x)$ can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{(k)}(x)=\sum_{l=k}^{2 r} e_{i}^{(l)} \frac{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{l-k}}{(l-k)!}+R_{2 r-k}\left(f^{(2 r+1)} ; x_{i}\right)(x) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
R_{m}(g ; \alpha)(x)=\int_{\alpha}^{x} \frac{(x-\xi)^{m}}{m!} g(\xi) d \xi
$$

The problem is then reduced to the study of the terms $e_{i}^{(k)}$.
Let us introduce the following compact notation

$$
\delta_{i}^{k} g=\sum_{j=0}^{2 r-1} C_{j, 2 r}^{k)} g_{i+j}
$$

Hence (2) becomes

$$
\delta_{i}^{0} s^{(k)}=\frac{(2 r)_{k}}{h^{k}} \delta_{i}^{k} s
$$

for all $k=1, \ldots, 2 r-1$. So, for the error function we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i}^{0} e^{(k)}=\delta_{i}^{0} f^{(k)}-\frac{(2 r)_{k}}{h^{k}} \delta_{i}^{k} f \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now able to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Let $N$ be an odd integer, $\Delta=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ be a uniform partition of $[a, b]$ and $f \in C_{p}^{2 r+1}[a, b]$. Then
$\delta_{i}^{0} e^{(k)}=\delta_{i}^{0} R_{2 r-k}\left(f^{(2 r+1)} ; x_{i+r-(1 / 2)}\right)-\frac{(2 r)_{k}}{h^{k}} \delta_{i}^{k} R_{2 r}\left(f^{(2 r+1)} ; x_{i+r-(1 / 2)}\right)$
for all $k=1, \ldots, 2 r-1$.
Proof. Consider the following Taylor expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(k)}(x)=p^{(k)}(x)+R_{2 r-k}\left(f^{(2 r+1)} ; x_{i+r-(1 / 2)}\right)(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k=0, \ldots, 2 r-1$, where

$$
p^{(k)}(x)=\sum_{l=k}^{2 r} f_{i+r-(1 / 2)}^{(l)} \frac{\left(x-x_{i+r-(1 / 2)}\right)^{l-k}}{(l-k!)} .
$$

Using the notation (A.1) of the Appendix, we have

$$
\delta_{i}^{0} p^{(k)}=\sum_{l=k}^{2 r} f_{i+r-(1 / 2)^{(l)}} h^{l-k_{\gamma}} \gamma_{l-k, 2 r}^{(0)}
$$

and

$$
\delta_{i}^{k} p=\sum_{l=0}^{2 r} f_{i+r-(1 / 2)}^{(l)} h^{l} \gamma_{l, 2 r}^{(k)} .
$$

But from (A.2) and (A.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{i}^{0} p^{(k)}=\frac{(2 r)_{k}}{h^{k}} \delta_{i}^{k} p \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the result follows from (8), (10) and (11). Q.E.D.

In the next two theorems, we obtain bounds for the expressions $e_{i}^{(k)}+e_{i+1}^{(k)}$ and $e_{i}^{(k)}-e_{i+1}^{(k)}$. These bounds show us an interesting decomposition of the bound for $e_{i}^{(k)}$.

Theorem 2. Let $N$ be an odd integer, $\Delta=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ be a uniform partition of $[a, b]$ and $f \in C_{p}^{2 r+1}[a, b]$. Then there exist constants $\beta_{r, k}$, independent of the partition, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{i}^{(k)}+e_{i+1}^{(k)}\right| \leqslant \beta_{r, k} h^{2 r+1-k}\left\|f^{(2 r+1)}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i=0, \ldots, N-1$ and $k=1, \ldots, 2 r-1$.
Proof. If we write (9) as a linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 r}^{(0)} e_{d}^{(k)}=b_{r, k} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{\Delta}^{(k)}=\left(e_{0}^{(k)}, e_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, e_{N-1}^{(k)}\right)$ and $b_{r, k}$ is a $N$-vector whose components are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(b_{r, k}\right)_{i}=\delta_{i-r+1}^{0} e^{(k)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if we use the right-hand side of (9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(b_{r, k}\right)_{i}= & \delta_{i-r+1}^{0} R_{2 r-k}\left(f^{(2 r+1)} ; x_{i+(1 / 2)}\right) \\
& -\frac{(2 r)_{k}}{h^{k}} \delta_{i-r+1}^{k} R_{2 r}\left(f^{(2 r+1)} ; x_{i+(1 / 2)}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $i=0,1, \ldots, N-1$. So there exist constants $\alpha_{r, k}$, independent of $\Delta$, such that

$$
\left\|b_{r, k}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \alpha_{r, k} h^{2 r+1-k}\left\|f^{(2 r+1)}\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Now, since $I=E(I+P)$ and $C_{2 r}^{(0)}=E C_{2 r}^{(0)}(I+P)$, where the matrix $E$ is given by (5) and $P$ is the permutation matrix of order $N$

we obtain

$$
(I+P) e_{A}^{(k)}=\left(E C_{2 r}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} b_{r, k}
$$

and the result follows if we set $\beta_{r, k}=\alpha_{r, k}\left\|\left(E C_{2 r}^{(0)}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\infty}$.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 3. Let $N$ be an odd integer, $\Delta=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ be a uniform partition of $[a, b], f \in C_{p}^{2 r+1}[a, b]$ with $f^{(2 r+1)}$ of bounded variation. Then there exist constants $\beta_{r, k}$, independent of the partition, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{i}^{(k)}-e_{i+1}^{(k)}\right| \leqslant \beta_{r, k} h^{2 r+1-k} \operatorname{Var}\left(f^{(2 r+1)}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i=0, \ldots, N-1$ and $k=1, \ldots, 2 r-1$.
Proof. From the system (13) and the relation $(I-P) E C_{2 r}^{0}=$ $E C_{2 r}^{0}(I-P)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-P) e_{\Delta}^{(k)}=\left(E C_{2 r}^{0}\right)^{-1}(I-P) E b_{r, k} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

But

and (14) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(I-P) E b_{r, k}\right]_{i}=\sum_{j=i+1}^{i+N-1}(-1)^{j-i} \delta_{j-r+1}^{0} e^{(k)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i=0, \ldots, N-1$.

Using the fact that the partition is uniform and introducing the translation operator $\left(T_{j} g\right)(x)=g(x+j h)$, we can easily show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{j-r+1}^{k} R_{m}\left(g ; x_{j+(1 / 2)}\right)=\delta_{i-r+1}^{k} R_{m}\left(T_{j-i} g ; x_{i+(1 / 2)}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k=0, \ldots, 2 r-1$.
Hence, setting $g=f^{(2 r+1)}$ in (19) and using (15) and (18), we obtain

$$
\left[(I-P) E b_{r, k}\right]_{i}=\delta_{i-r+1}^{0} R_{2 r-k}\left(\psi ; x_{i+(1 / 2)}\right)-\frac{(2 r)_{k}}{h^{k}} \delta_{i-r+1}^{k} R_{2 r}\left(\psi ; x_{i+(1 / 2)}\right)
$$

where

$$
\psi=\sum_{j=i+1}^{i+N-1}(-1)^{j-1} T_{j-i} f^{(2 r+1)} .
$$

But

$$
|\psi(x)| \leqslant \operatorname{Var}\left(f^{(2 r+1)}\right)
$$

so there exist constants $\alpha_{r, k}$, independent of $\Delta$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(I-P) E b_{r, k}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \alpha_{r, k} h^{2 r+1-k} \operatorname{Var}\left(f^{(2 r+1)}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the result follows from (17) and (20).
It is now easy to obtain a global error bound for the remainder function.

Theorem 4. Let $N$ be an odd integer, $\Delta=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{N}$ be a uniform partition of $[a, b], f \in C_{p}^{2 r+1}[a, b]$ with $f^{(2 r+1)}$ of bounded variation. Then there exist constants $\sigma_{r, k}$, independent of the partition, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{(k)}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \sigma_{r, k} h^{2 r+1-k}\left\{\left\|f^{(2 r+1)}\right\|_{\infty}+\operatorname{Var}\left(f^{(2 r+1)}\right)\right\} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k=0, \ldots, 2 r$.
Proof. Inequalities (12) and (16) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{A}^{(k)}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \frac{\beta_{r, k}}{2} h^{2 r+1-k}\left\{\left\|f^{(2 r+1)}\right\|_{\infty}+\operatorname{Var}\left(f^{(2 r+1)}\right)\right\} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, 2 r-1$. Moreover, from (3) it follows that

$$
e_{i}^{(2 r)}=\frac{e_{i+1}^{(2 r-1)}-e_{i}^{(2 r-1)}}{h}-\frac{1}{h} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+1}}\left(x_{i+1}-\xi\right) f^{(2 r+1)}(\xi) d \xi
$$

and using (16) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{i}^{(2 r)}\right| \leqslant \beta_{r, 2 r-1} h \operatorname{Var}\left(f^{(2 r+1)}\right)+\frac{h}{2}\left\|f^{(2 r+1)}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result then follows from (7), (22) and (23).
Q.E.D.

Remark 1. From (9) it follows that the right-hand side of (8) is a linear functional $L_{k}$ which vanishes for all $p \in \mathscr{P}_{2 r}$. Thus we can use the Peano kernel theorem (see Davis [14]) to obtain

$$
\delta_{i}^{0} e^{(k)}=\int_{a}^{b} K_{k}(t) f^{(2 r+1)}(t) d t
$$

where

$$
K_{k}(t)=\frac{1}{(2 r)!} L_{k, x}\left[(x-t)_{+}^{2 r}\right] .
$$

The notation $L_{k, x}\left[(x-t)_{+}^{2 r}\right]$ means that the functional $L_{k}$ is applied to $(x-t)_{+}^{2 r}$ considered as a function of $x$. Using the following change of variable, $t=x_{i}+\theta h$, we get

$$
\delta_{i}^{0} e^{(k)}=h^{2 r+1-k} \int_{0}^{2 r-1} \bar{K}_{k}(\theta) f^{(2 r+1)}\left(x_{i}+\theta h\right) d \theta
$$

where

$$
\bar{K}_{k}(\theta)=\frac{1}{(2 r-k)!}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{2 r-1} C_{j, 2 r}^{(0)}(j-\theta)_{+}^{2 r-k}-\sum_{j=0}^{2 r-1} C_{j, 2 r}^{(k)}(j-\theta)_{+}^{2 r}\right] .
$$

So, the constants $\alpha_{r, k}$ can be evaluated using the following expresion

$$
\alpha_{r, k}=\int_{0}^{2 r-1}\left|\bar{K}_{k}(\theta)\right| d \theta
$$

for all $k=1, \ldots, 2 r-1$.
Remark 2. Following Lemma 1 and using (A.2), (A.3) and (A.4) we can show that $L_{k}$ vanishes for all $p \in \mathscr{P}_{2 r+1}$ as long as $k$ is even. In this case we obtain

$$
\delta_{i}^{0} e^{(k)}=\int_{a}^{b} K_{k}^{*}(t) f^{(2 r+2)}(t) d t
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{k}^{*}(t)=\frac{1}{(2 r+1)!} L_{k, x}\left[(x-t)_{+}^{2 r+1}\right] . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, if we consider $f \in C_{p}^{2 r+2}[a, b]$ with $f^{(2 r+2)}$ of bounded variation, we get

$$
\left\|e_{\Delta}^{(k)}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant \beta_{r, k}^{*} h^{2 r+2-k}\left\{\left\|f^{(2 r+2)}\right\|_{\infty}+\operatorname{Var}\left(f^{(2 r+2)}\right)\right\}
$$

for all $k=2, \ldots, 2 r-2$, and where $\beta_{r, k}^{*}$ can be evaluate using (6) and (24).
In [15], Dikshit, Sharma and Tzimbalario extend the results of Lucas [10] to the case of even order periodic spline interpolation at midknots. In the same way, our results could be extended to odd order periodic spline interpolation at midknots.

## APPENDIX: Properties of the Coefficients $C_{j, n}^{(k)}$

In this appendix, we recall the properties of the coefficients $C_{j, n}^{(k)}$ and extend the last one.

Proposition 1.. The coefficients $C_{j, n}^{(k)}$ have the following properties.

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{j, n+1}^{(k)}= & (n+1-j) C_{j-1, n}^{(k)}  \tag{i}\\
& +(j+1) C_{j, n}^{(k)}, \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n, \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1,
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
C_{j, k+1}^{(k)}=(-1)^{k+j}\binom{k}{j}, \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k
$$

Since $\binom{k}{j}=\binom{k-1}{j}+\binom{k-1}{j-1}$ it follows that

$$
C_{j, k+1}^{(k)}=C_{j-1, k}^{(k-1)}-C_{j, k}^{(k-1)} .
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j, n}^{(k)}=(-1)^{k} C_{n-1-j, n}^{(k)}, \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1 . \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_{j, n}^{(k)} z^{j+1}=(-1)^{k}(1-z)^{n+1}$

$$
\times\left(z \frac{d}{d z}\right)^{n-k}\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right), \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1 .
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_{j, n}^{(k)} z^{j}=(z-1)^{l^{\prime}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-l-1} C_{j, n-l}^{(k-l)} z^{j}, \quad l \leqslant k . \tag{iv}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_{j, n}^{(0)} C_{j+k, n}^{(k)}=(-1)^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_{j, n}^{(k)} C_{j+k, n}^{(0)}, \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$.
(vi) Define the coefficients $\alpha_{0, n}=1, \alpha_{2, n}, \alpha_{4, n}, \ldots$ by the equation

$$
\sinh ^{n+1} x=x^{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{2 i, n} x^{2 i}
$$

and for $t=0,1,2, \ldots$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t, n}^{(k)}=\frac{1}{t!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_{j, n}^{(k)}\left(j-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{t}, \quad 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1 \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t, n}^{(k)}=0 \quad \text { if } k+t \text { is odd or if } t<k \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{k+2 m, n}^{(k)}=\frac{(n-k)!}{2^{2 m}} \alpha_{2 m, n}, \quad 0 \leqslant m<\hat{m},  \tag{A.3}\\
& \gamma_{k+2 m, n}^{(k)}= \frac{(n-k)!}{2^{2 m}} \alpha_{2 m, n}+\frac{(-1)^{n+k}}{2^{2 m}} \\
& \times \sum_{l=\hat{m}}^{m} \alpha_{2 m-2 l, n} \frac{2^{2 l} B_{2 l}}{2 l(2 l-1-n+k)!}, \quad m \geqslant \hat{m} \tag{A.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{m}=[(n+2-k) / 2],[u]$ denotes the integer part of $u$ and $B_{2 m}$ denotes a Bernoulli number (see Abramowitz and Stegun [1]).

Proof. (i) See Fyfe [9].
(ii) See Swartz [11, 12] or Albasiny and Hoskins [3].
(iii), (iv) and (v) See Albasiny and Hoskins [3].
(vi) This property has been proved for $n$ odd by Albasiny and Hoskins [3] and by Lucas [10]. We show here how these proofs can be extended to cover the case $n$ even.

Using the properties (ii) and setting $\hat{\jmath}=[(n-2) / 2]$, we show that

$$
t!\gamma_{t, n}^{(k)}=\left(1+(-1)^{k+t}\right) \sum_{j=0}^{j} C_{j, n}^{(k)}\left(j-\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{t}
$$

giving $C_{j, n}^{(k)}=0$ if $k+t$ is odd.

Taking $t=k+2 m$ and using the identity $(z(d / d z))^{t}\left(z^{l}\right)=l^{l} z^{l}$, (A.1) becomes

$$
\gamma_{k+2 m, n}^{(k)}=\frac{1}{(k+2 m)!}\left[\left(z \frac{d}{d z}\right)^{k+2 m} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} C_{j, n}^{(k)} z^{j-(n-1) / 2}\right]_{z=1}
$$

Moreover, the property (iii) implies that

$$
\gamma_{k+2 m, n}^{(k)}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{(k+2 m)!}\left[\left(z \frac{d}{d z}\right)^{k+2 m} \frac{(1-z)^{n+1}}{z^{(1 / 2)(n+1)}}\left(z \frac{d}{d z}\right)^{n-k}\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)\right]_{z=1}
$$

Making the substitution $z=e^{2 x}$, the operator $z(d / d z)$ and $\frac{1}{2}(d / d x)$ are equivalent and $z=1$ correspond to $x=0$. Noting that $1 /\left(1-e^{2 x}\right)=$ $\frac{1}{2}(1-\operatorname{coth} x)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{k+2 m, n}^{(k)}= & \frac{(-1)^{n+k}}{(k+2 m)!2^{2 m}}\left[\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{k+2 m}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left\{\sinh ^{n+1} x\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{n-k} \operatorname{coth} x\right\}\right], \quad x=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since (see Abramowitz and Stegun [1])

$$
\operatorname{coth} x=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 l}}{(2 l)!} B_{2 l} x^{2 l-1}, \quad|x|<\pi
$$

it follows that

$$
\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{n-k} \operatorname{coth} x=\frac{(-1)^{n-k}(n-k)!}{x^{n-k+1}}+\sum_{l=\dot{m}}^{\infty} \frac{2^{2 l} B_{2 l}}{2 l(2 l-1-n+k)!} x^{2 l-1-n+k}
$$

where $\hat{m}=[(n+2-k) / 2]$. Then

$$
(-1)^{n+k} \sinh ^{n+1} x\left(\frac{d}{d x}\right)^{n-k} \operatorname{coth} x=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_{2 m, n} x^{k+2 m}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{2 m, n}= & (n-k)!\alpha_{2 m, n}, \quad 0 \leqslant m<\hat{m} \\
= & (n-k)!\alpha_{2 m, n}+(-1)^{n+k} \\
& \times \sum_{l=m}^{m} \alpha_{2 m-2 l, n} \frac{2^{2 l} B_{2 l}}{2 l(2 l-1-n+k)!}, \quad m \geqslant \hat{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the result follows by applying $(d / d t)^{k+2 m}$ and setting $x=0$. Q.E.D.
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